

Information on a Social Dimension Verification Report

To: KDDI CORPORATION

1. Objective and Scope

Japan Quality Assurance Organization (hereafter “JQA”) was engaged by KDDI CORPORATION. (hereafter “the Company”) to provide an independent verification on the information of the fiscal year 2024* provided in “Calculation method and data on supplier” (hereafter “the Report”). The content of our verification was to express our conclusion, based on our verification procedures, on whether the statement of information regarding indicators of a social dimension in the Report (hereafter “the Statement”), as shown in Table 1, was correctly calculated, in accordance with the calculation rule for social performance data prepared by the Company (hereafter “the Rule”). The purpose of the verification is to evaluate the Report objectively and to enhance the credibility of the Statement.

Table 1. Indicators of a social dimension

Total number of Tier-1 suppliers	Total number of significant suppliers in Tier-1	Percent of total spend on significant suppliers in Tier-1
Total number of significant suppliers in non Tier-1	Total number of significant suppliers (Tier-1 and non Tier-1)	Total number of suppliers assessed via desk assessments or on-site assessments

* The fiscal year 2024 of the Company ended on March 31, 2025.

2. Procedures Performed

JQA conducted verification in accordance with “ISAE 3000”. The scope of this verification assignment includes indicators shown in Table 1. The verification was conducted to a limited level of assurance and quantitative materiality was set at 5 percent of each indicator in the Report. The organizational boundaries of this verification are the Company and its 52 consolidated companies.

Our verification procedures included:

- Confirming the integrated functions, and checking calculation scenario; monitoring and calculation system; and calculation results.
- Cross-checking the Statement against evidence.

3. Conclusion

Based on the procedures described above, nothing has come to our attention that caused us to believe that the Statement is not materially correct, or has not been prepared in accordance with the Rule.

4. Consideration

The Company was responsible for preparing the Report, and JQA’s responsibility was to conduct verification of the Statement only. There is no conflict of interest between the Company and JQA.



Sumio Asada, Executive Board Director

For and on behalf of Japan Quality Assurance Organization

1-25, Kandasudacho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan

September 12, 2025